arXiv:0812.2649v1 [math.NT] 14 Dec 2008

GENERALIZATION OF THE "STARK UNIT" FOR ABELIAN L-FUNCTIONS WITH MULTIPLE ZEROS

M. VLASENKO

Let K/k be a normal extension of number fields with abelian Galois group G. Suppose there is an infinite place v of k such that the set of nontrival characters $\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ which are ramified at all infinite places except v is nonempty. Then the corresponding Artin L-functions $L(\chi, s)$ have zeros of the first order at $s = 0$, and if we fix any embedding of the bigger field K to $\mathbb{C}$ which extends v then Stark's conjecture predicts existence of a "unit" $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} O_K^\times$ such that for every such χ

$$L'(\chi, 0) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \log |g\varepsilon|.$$

We refer to the book [1] concerning the formulation and consistency of the conjecture. It is stated there for a character of an arbitrary Galois representation, but the case of abelian character would imply the general one.

In this note we fix a nonnegative integer $r \geq 0$ and consider those χ for which the L-function has zero of order exactly r at $s = 0$. Let

$$L(\chi, s) = c(\chi)s^r + o(s^r), \quad s \to 0$$

with $c(\chi) \neq 0$. First we show that the leading Laurent coefficients $c(\chi)$ for such χ can be all described by means of a single element $\varepsilon_r \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r O_K^\times$ of the $\mathbb{R}$-span of the r-th exterior power of the module of units $O_K^\times$ taken in the category of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules. Further, we prove that the Stark conjecture is equivalent to the statement that

$$\varepsilon_r \in \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r O_K^\times.$$

Our main result is Theorem 2. Following [1], we consider here slightly more general L-functions $L_S(\chi, s)$ where S is a set of places of k containing all the infinite places $S_\infty \subseteq S$. If one puts $S = S_\infty$ then these are the ordinary Artin L-functions as above, namely $L(\chi, s) = L_{S_\infty}(\chi, s)$. In general ε_r belongs to $\mathbb{R} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^r U$, where $U \subset K^\times$ is the module of S-units of the bigger field K. If $S = S_\infty$ then $U = O_K^\times$.

We should mention that such elements already appeared in [2], but under very special assumptions on the set of places S (Hypotheses 2.1 of [2]). Although these assumptions allow to refine the Stark conjecture, we find it convenient from the computational point of view to keep the set S as small as possible. Indeed, when we increase S then the order of zero of $L_S(\chi, s)$ at $s = 0$ either stays constant or increases. The module U of S-units also grows. Hence if one really wants to verify the Stark conjecture numerically, the most simple choice will be $S = S_\infty$. We consider one numerical example below. In view of the above-said, the present note may be considered as a naive version of [2], where we do everything what can be done simply by linear algebra.

1. The conjecture

We start with a reformulation of the abelian Stark conjecture. Let K/k be a normal extension of number fields with an abelian Galois group G, S be a set of places of k containing all the infinite places $S_\infty \subset S$ and S_K be the set of all places of K lying above places in S. Let Y be the free abelian group on S_K and

$$X = \left\{ \sum_{w \in S_K} x_w w \;\middle|\; x_w \in \mathbb{Z},\ \sum x_w = 0 \right\} \subset Y.$$

Let

$$U = \left\{ x \in K^\times \;\middle|\; \mathrm{ord}_w(x) = 0 \quad \forall w \notin S_K \right\}$$

be the group of S-units in K. We have a G-module isomorphism

$$\log : \mathbb{R}U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}X$$

$$\log(x) = \sum_{w \in S_K} \log |x|_w \, w.$$

Also $\mathbb{Q}X \cong \mathbb{Q}U$ as G-modules (see [1], p.26), but there is no canonical choise for this isomorphism.

The modified Artin L-function attached to a character $\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is defined for $\Re(s) > 1$ as

$$L_S(\chi, s) = \prod_{v \notin S, \chi|_{I_v} \equiv 1} (1 - \chi(\sigma_v)\, Nv^{-s})^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

where for every finite place v of k we denote by G_v, I_v and $\sigma_v \in G_v/I_v$ the decomposition group, the inertia group and the Frobenius element correspondingly. The order of zero at $s = 0$ of this L-function is

$$r(\chi) = \# \left\{ v \in S \;\middle|\; \chi|_{G_v} \equiv 1 \right\}$$

for $\chi \neq 1$ and $r(\mathbf{1}) = \#S - 1$ (Proposition II.3.4 in [1]). Here $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial character. Let $c(\chi)$ be the leading Laurent coefficient of the L-function (1) at $s = 0$:

$$L_S(\chi, s) = c(\chi)s^{r(\chi)} + o(s^{r(\chi)}), \quad s \to 0.$$

Let us fix a nonnegative integer r such that there are characters χ with $r(\chi) = r$. In particular, should be $r \leq \#S$. Let C be a nonempty set of characters satisfying the two conditions:

(i) if $\chi \in C$ then $\chi^\alpha = \alpha \circ \chi \in C$ for every $\alpha \in Aut(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$;
(ii) $r(\chi) = r$ for all $\chi \in C$.

For any χ the element $\mathrm{E}_\chi = \frac{1}{\#G}\sum_{g\in G}\overline{\chi}(g)g$ is an idempotent in $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Let

$$\mathrm{E}_C = \sum_{\chi\in C} \mathrm{E}_\chi\,.$$

It is an idempotent since $\mathrm{E}_\chi\,\mathrm{E}_{\chi'} = 0$ when $\chi \neq \chi'$, and it belongs to $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ due to the property (i) of the set C. Consider also the generalized "Stickelberger element"

$$\Theta_C = \sum_{\chi\in C} c(\overline{\chi})\,\mathrm{E}_\chi\,.$$

It obviously belongs to the subspace $\mathrm{E}_C\,\mathbb{C}[G]$, but since $c(\overline{\chi}) = \overline{c(\chi)}$ we have $\Theta_C \in \mathrm{E}_C\,\mathbb{R}[G]$ in fact.

Let $\log^{(r)} : \mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r X$ be the G-module isomorphism induced by $\log : \mathbb{R}U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}X$, where exterior products are always taken in the category of modules over the commutative ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. If $r = 0$ this means $\bigwedge^0 U = \bigwedge^0 X = \mathbb{Z}[G]$ and $\log^{(0)} = 1$.

Conjecture 1. [1] *Let C be a nonempty set of characters satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) from above. Then*

$$\Theta_C\;\mathbb{Q}\bigwedge^r X = \mathbb{Q}\log^{(r)}\left(\mathrm{E}_C\bigwedge^r U\right).$$

Notice that both $\Theta_C\;\bigwedge^r X$ and $\log^{(r)}(\mathrm{E}_C\bigwedge^r U)$ are maximal discrete lattices in the real vector space $\mathrm{E}_C\,\mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r X$ of dimension $\#C$. Indeed, $\mathrm{E}_C\bigwedge^r X$ is obviously a maximal lattice in $\mathrm{E}_C\,\mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r X$, and Θ_C is an invertible transformation of this space since $\det(\Theta_C) = \prod_{\chi\in C} c(\chi) \neq 0$. Analogously, $\mathrm{E}_C\bigwedge^r U$ modulo torsion is maximal in $\mathrm{E}_C\,\mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r U$, and $\log^{(r)} : \mathrm{E}_C\,\mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r U \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}_C\,\mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r X$ is invertible.

---

[1] If $r = 0$ the conjecture states $\Theta_C \in E_C\mathbb{Q}[G]$. The same claims the Stark conjecture for all $\chi \in C$, which is true (Theorem III.1.2 of [1]). We assume $r > 0$ further in this note.

The conjecture claims these lattices are commensurable.

Theorem 1. *Conjecture 1 is equivalent to the Stark conjecture "over $\mathbb{Q}$" (Conjecture I.5.1 of* [1]*) for all characters $\chi \in C$.*

Let us choose any isomorphism $f : \mathbb{Q}X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}U$. Then the Stark regulator for each χ is defined as $R(\chi, f) = \det(\log \circ f|_{\mathrm{Hom}_G(\overline{\chi}, \mathbb{C}X)})$. Put $A(\chi, f) = \frac{c(\chi)}{R(\chi, f)}$. Stark's conjecture claims that $A(\chi^\alpha, f) = A(\chi, f)^\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$. We can equivalently state it as

$$A = \sum_{\chi \in C} A(\overline{\chi}, f)\, \mathrm{E}_\chi \in \mathbb{Q}[G]. \tag{2}$$

Let us introduce also $R = \sum_{\chi \in C} R(\overline{\chi}, f)\, \mathrm{E}_\chi$. Then $\Theta_C = A\, R$.

Proof of Theorem 1. For any $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in X$

$$R(\overline{\chi}, f)\, \mathrm{E}_\chi\, x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_r = \mathrm{E}_\chi \log \circ f(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \log \circ f(x_r)$$

by the definition of $R(\overline{\chi}, f)$. Indeed, $\mathrm{Hom}(\chi, \mathbb{C}X) \cong \mathrm{E}_\chi\, \mathbb{C}X$ and dimension of this space is r. Therefore

$$R \bigwedge^r X = \log^{(r)} \left(\mathrm{E}_C \bigwedge^r f(X) \right), \tag{3}$$

and $\mathbb{Q} \log^{(r)} (\mathrm{E}_C \bigwedge^r U) = R\, \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X$. Also $\Theta_C \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X = R\, (A\, \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X)$. Since multiplication by R is an invertible transformation of $\mathrm{E}_C\, \mathbb{R} \bigwedge^r X$, Conjecture 1 is now equivalent to the statement $\mathrm{E}_C\, \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X = A\, \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X$. This is in turn equivalent to $A \in \mathrm{E}_C\, \mathbb{Q}[G]$. Recall that we reduced the Stark conjecture to the same statement (2). □

We have already remarked that Conjecture 1 claims commensurability of the two lattices $\Theta_C \bigwedge^r X$ and $\log^{(r)} (\mathrm{E}_C \bigwedge^r U)$. Let us show that the ratio of covolumes of these lattices is a rational number. Let $f : \mathbb{Q}X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}U$ be the isomorphism from above. Then $\log^{(r)} (\mathrm{E}_C \bigwedge^r f(X))$ is commensurable with $\log^{(r)} (\mathrm{E}_C \bigwedge^r U)$, and

$$\Theta_C \bigwedge^r X = AR \bigwedge^r X = A \log^{(r)} \left(\mathrm{E}_C \bigwedge^r f(X) \right)$$

due to (3). The ratio of covolumes of $\Theta_C \bigwedge^r X$ and $\log^{(r)} (\mathrm{E}_C \bigwedge^r f(X))$ is therefore $\det(A) = \prod_{\chi \in C} A(\chi, f)$. For a character $\psi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of an arbitrary representation of G one can define the Artin L-function $L_S(\psi, s)$ and introduce the numbers $c(\psi)$, $R(\psi, f)$ and $A(\psi, f) = \frac{c(\psi)}{R(\psi, f)}$.

Since the latter numbers satisfy $A(\psi_1+\psi_2)=A(\psi_1)A(\psi_2)$,

$$\det(A)=\prod_{\chi\in C}A(\chi,f)=A\left(\sum_{\chi\in C}\chi\ ,\ f\right).$$

Due to the property (i) of C the character $\psi=\sum_{\chi\in C}\chi$ takes rational values on G. For such characters the Stark conjecture is known to be true (Corrolary II.7.4 in [1]).[2] It means $A(\psi,f)\in\mathbb{Q}$, hence our claim is proved.

2. Generalization of the ”Stark unit”

Let $S=\{v_1,\dots,v_n\}$ and choose some $w_i\in S_K$ above each $v_i\in S$. For $w\in S_K$ let $w^*\in \mathrm{Hom}_G(Y,\mathbb{Z}[G])$ be the map

$$w^*\left(\sum x_{w'}w'\right)=\frac{1}{\#G_{v_i}}\sum_{g\in G}x_{gw}\ g.$$

Then we can consider $w^*_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge w^*_{i_r}\in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\bigwedge_G^r Y,\mathbb{Z}[G])$ for any $i_1<\cdots<i_r$. This homomorphism maps $y_1\wedge\cdots\wedge y_r$ to $\det(w^*_{i_k}(y_l))^r_{k,l=1}$.

Let $\mathrm{E}_r=\sum\limits_{\chi:r(\chi)=r}\mathrm{E}_\chi$. It is an idempotent in the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. Recall that $U\subset K^\times$ is the group of S-units of K.

Theorem 2. [3] *There is a unique element $\varepsilon_r\in \mathrm{E}_r\ \mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r U$ such that for every $\chi\neq\mathbf{1}$ with $r(\chi)=r$*

$$c(\chi)=\overline{\chi}\left(w^*_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge w^*_{i_r}\left(\log^{(r)}(\varepsilon_r)\right)\right)$$

where $\{i_1<\cdots<i_r\}=\{i:\chi|_{G_{v_i}}\equiv 1\}$, and (in case $r=n-1$)

$$c(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}\left((-1)^iw^*_1\wedge\cdots\wedge w^*_{i-1}\wedge w^*_{i+1}\wedge\cdots\wedge w^*_n\left(\log^{(r)}(\varepsilon_r)\right)\right)$$

with an arbitrary i.

Conjecture 1 for the set of characters $C_r=\{\chi:r(\chi)=r\}$ is true if and only if $\varepsilon_r\in\mathrm{E}_r\ \mathbb{Q}\bigwedge^r U$.

---

[2] We refer here to the truth of the Stark conjecture for a rational-valued character, although one can find simple arguments in this case. In fact our ψ is a sum of permutation characters. A permutation character is the one induced from the trivial character on a subgroup. Since $A(\mathrm{Ind}\,\chi,f)=A(\chi,f)$, the Stark conjecture is true for ψ because it is true for a trivial character.

[3] Let us write for the moment ε_r^K, w_i^K and U_K to indicate the relation to K/k. Let us take some intermediate extension $k\subset F\subset K$ and choose for the places w_i^F exactly the ones under w_i^K. Then one can prove that $\varepsilon_r^F=\mathcal{N}^{(r)}(\varepsilon_r^K)$, where $\mathcal{N}^{(r)}:\mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r U_K\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}\bigwedge^r U_F$ is the map induced by the norm $\mathcal{N}:U_K\longrightarrow U_F$.

Proof. We want to construct a certain G-module isomorphism

$$\Omega : \mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q}[G].$$

Suppose first $r \neq n-1$, so that all $\chi \in C_r$ are nontrivial. Let us check that

$$\Omega = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_r} w_{i_1}^* \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_r}^* \Big|_{\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X}$$

is such an isomorphism. Since its image obviously belongs to $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q}[G]$, it is enough to prove that Ω gives an invertible map from $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{C} \bigwedge^r X$ to $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{C}[G]$ or, equivalently, from each $\mathrm{E}_\chi \mathbb{C} \bigwedge^r X$ to $\mathrm{E}_\chi \mathbb{C}[G]$. The last space is of dimension 1. Notice that if $\chi|_{G_{v_i}}$ is nontrivial then $\mathrm{E}_\chi w_i = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}Y$. If $\chi|_{G_{v_i}} \equiv 1$ then $\mathrm{E}_\chi w_i \in \mathrm{E}_\chi \mathbb{C}X$. Let $\{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\} = \{i : \chi|_{G_{v_i}} \equiv 1\}$. Then $\mathrm{E}_\chi w_{i_1}, \ldots, \mathrm{E}_\chi w_{i_r}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{E}_\chi \mathbb{C}X$, and therefore the space $\mathrm{E}_\chi \mathbb{C} \bigwedge^r X = \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{E}_\chi w_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_r}$ has dimension 1. Since $\Omega(\mathrm{E}_\chi w_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_r}) = \mathrm{E}_\chi$ we are done.

Let now $r = n-1$ and

$$\Omega = (\mathrm{E}_r - \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{1}}) \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_r} w_{i_1}^* \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_r}^* + \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{1}} (-1)^i \check{w}_i^* \Big|_{\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X}$$

where we denote $w_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i-1}^* \wedge w_{i+1}^* \wedge \cdots \wedge w_n^*$ by $\check{w}_i^*$. Let us see that this map is independent of i and it is an isomorphism from $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X$ to $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q}[G]$. We can tensor with $\mathbb{C}$ again, and if $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}$ then Ω is an isomorphism from each $\mathrm{E}_\chi \mathbb{C} \bigwedge^r X$ to $\mathrm{E}_\chi \mathbb{C}[G]$. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbb{C} \bigwedge^r X$ is generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{1}}(w_2 - w_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (w_n - w_1) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{1}} \sum_j (-1)^{j-1} \check{w}_j$, where $\check{w}_j = w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{j-1} \wedge w_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_n$. Since $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{1}}\, \check{w}_i^*(\check{w}_j) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{1}}$ when $i = j$ and 0 otherwise, we are done.

With Ω constructed above we have an invertible map

$$\Omega \circ \log^{(r)} : \mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{R} \bigwedge^r U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{R}[G]. \tag{4}$$

Notice that the property of ε_r can be reformulated as

$$c(\chi) = \overline{\chi}(\Omega \circ \log^{(r)}(\varepsilon_r))$$

for all χ with $r(\chi) = r$. Since $\Theta_r = \sum_{r(\chi)=r} c(\overline{\chi})\, \mathrm{E}_\chi$ is a unique element in $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{R}[G]$ with the property $c(\chi) = \overline{\chi}(\Theta_r)$ for all such χ, then $\varepsilon_r = (\Omega \circ \log^{(r)})^{-1} \Theta_r$ is a unique element satisfying the conditions.

Since $\Omega : \mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{R} \bigwedge^r X \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{R}[G]$ is an isomorphism and it maps $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X$ to $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q}[G]$, it also maps $\Theta_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r X$ to $\Theta_r \mathbb{Q}[G]$. Hence Conjecture 1 is equivalent to the statement that the isomorphism (4) maps $\mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r U$ to $\Theta_r \mathbb{Q}[G]$. If the conjecture is true then $\varepsilon_r \in \mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r U$. Suppose conversely that $\varepsilon_r \in \mathrm{E}_r \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^r U$. Then $(\Omega \circ \log^{(r)})^{-1}(\Theta_r \mathbb{Q}[G]) =$

$\mathbb{Q}[G]\varepsilon_r \subset \mathrm{E}_r\,\mathbb{Q}\bigwedge^r U$. In fact $\mathbb{Q}[G]\varepsilon_r = \mathrm{E}_r\,\mathbb{Q}\bigwedge^r U$ since these $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces have the same dimension $\#C_r$. Thus the conjecture is true. $\square$

We consider this element ε_r (conjecturally belonging to $\mathrm{E}_r\,\mathbb{Q}\bigwedge^r U$) as a generalization of the “Stark unit”. Similarly to the latter, ε_r is defined via units modulo torsion and it is unique as soon as some place of the bigger field K over each place of the base field k is fixed.

There is a (certainly non-unique) way to write $c(\chi)$ as a single determinant. Let us show it for $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}$. Consider $\mathrm{E} = \sum_{\alpha \in Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\chi)/\mathbb{Q})} \mathrm{E}_{\chi^\alpha}$ and let $\{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\} = \{i : \chi|_{G_{v_i}} \equiv 1\}$. Since $\mathrm{E}\,\mathbb{Q}\bigwedge^r X$ is generated over $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ by the element $\mathrm{E}\,w_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{E}\,w_{i_r}$, each element $x \in \mathrm{E}\,\mathbb{Q}\bigwedge^r X$ can be represented (non-uniquely) in the form $x = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_r$ with some $x_i \in \mathrm{E}\,\mathbb{Q}X$. Since $\mathbb{Q}U \cong \mathbb{Q}X$ as G-modules, we can represent

$$\mathrm{E}\,\varepsilon_r = \epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_r$$

with some (non-unique) $\epsilon_i \in \mathrm{E}\,\mathbb{Q}U$. Then

$$c(\chi) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^r \#G_{v_{i_j}}} \det\left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \log|g\epsilon_k|_{w_{i_j}}\right)_{1 \le k,j \le r}.$$

3. Example with the Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{229})$.

The field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{229})$ has class number $h = 3$. To construct its Hilbert class field together with some units in it let us consider the polynomial

$$x^3 - 4x + 1. \tag{5}$$

Let K be its splitting field. It can have degree 3 or 6 over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since this equation has discriminant $d = 229$, the splitting field should contain k. Hence K is of degree 6 with $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_3$, and $[K : k] = 3$. We claim that K is the Hilbert class field of k. Let us show K/k is an unramified extension. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(x^3 - 4x + 1)$. This qubic field is ramified at 229 only, so K is also ramified at 229 only since it is the compositum of k and F. Further,

$$x^3 - 4x + 1 = (x - 29)^2(x - 171) \mod 229$$

implies that the decomposition of (229) into primes in O_F has form $\mathfrak{p}^2\mathfrak{b}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}O_K = \mathfrak{P}$ should be prime and $\mathfrak{b}O_K = \mathfrak{B}^2$ for a prime $\mathfrak{B}$. This is because K is a Galois field, so in the decomposition of $(229) = \mathfrak{P}^2\mathfrak{B}^2$ all primes should have the same power. This power is the ramification index $e_K(229) = 2$. We have $e_K(229) = e_k(229) = 2$, therefore K/k is unramified.

Let $U = O_K^\times$ and $x \in U$ be the root of (5). Notice that $x - 2$ is also a unit then. Indeed, $(x-2)^3 + 6(x-2)^2 + 8(x-2) + 1 = x^3 - 4x + 1 = 0$. We could also take some unit $\epsilon \in O_k^\times$, and it is easy to check that ϵ together with the conjugates of x and $x - 2$ under $G = Gal(K/k)$ generate the subgroup of finite index in U. Let $\mathrm{E}_1 = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{g \in G} g$ and $\mathrm{E}_2 = 1 - \mathrm{E}_1$. Then $\epsilon \in \mathrm{E}_1 U$ and $x, x-2 \in \mathrm{E}_2 U$. Thus the element

$$x \wedge (x - 2)$$

generates $\mathrm{E}_2 \mathbb{Q} \bigwedge^2 U$ over $\mathrm{E}_2 \mathbb{Q}[G]$.

We also need the Stickelberger element Θ_2. We compute it using the Kronecker limit formula for real quadratic fields invented by Hecke. Expansion at $s = 0$ of the partial zeta function $\zeta(g, s)$ (for $g \in G$) starts as

$$\zeta(g, s) = -\frac{\log(\epsilon)}{2} s + \rho(g) s^2 + o(s^2), \quad s \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\epsilon = 15.066372975210...$ is the fundamental unit of k and the coefficient $\rho(g)$ can be computed as follows. We take a quadratic form Q of discriminant 229 which represents the class of ideals mapped to $g \in G$ by the Artin map from the class field theory. Let $x > x'$ be its roots. Then

$$\rho(g) = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-\log(\epsilon)}^{\log(\epsilon)} \log\left(y(v) |\eta(z(v))|^4 \right) dv + \mathrm{const}$$

where $z(v) = \frac{x e^{\frac{v}{2}} - i x' e^{-\frac{v}{2}}}{e^{\frac{v}{2}} - i e^{-\frac{v}{2}}}$, $y(v)$ is imaginary part of $z(v)$ and the constant is independent of g. This follows from the functional equation and formula for expansion near $s = 1$ given with similar integrals in [3] (page 90) or [4] (page 162). The unity $1 \in G$ can be represented by the form $Q_0 = x^2 - 15x - 1$, and the other elements (each of which generates G) by $Q_1 = 3x^2 - 11x - 9$ and $Q_2 = 9x^2 - 11x + 3$. The integral $\int_{-\log(\epsilon)}^{\log(\epsilon)} \log(y|\eta(z)|^4) dv$ equals $-16.230647798060277...$ for Q_0. Since $\zeta(g, s) = \zeta(g^{-1}, s)$, the value of this integral for both Q_1 and Q_2 is the same number $-6.808829434682019...$ Therefore we find

$$\Theta_2 = \mathrm{E}_2 \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g) g = 1.570303060563043... \cdot (1 - g/2 - g^2/2)$$

where $g \in G$ is any of the two generators in the last expression.

Let us enumerate the roots of (5) as $x_0 = -2.1149075414...$, $x_1 = 0.2541016883...$, $x_2 = 1.8608058531...$ Let $w_{1,2} : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the embeddings in which x takes the value x_0 and $\sqrt{229}$ is positive and negative correspondingly. Let g be a generator of G such that x take the value x_1 under $g w_1$. Since $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$ acts as the permutation group S_3 on the set (x_0, x_1, x_2) and G is the subgroup of even permutations (cyclic shifts in this case), we see that x will take the values x_2, x_2 and x_1 under g^2w_1, gw_2 and g^2w_2 correspondingly. Hence

$$\log^{(2)}(x \wedge (x-2)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{2} \log|x_i|\, g^i & \sum_{i=0}^{2} \log|x_i|\, g^{-i} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2} \log|x_i+2|\, g^i & \sum_{i=0}^{2} \log|x_i+2|\, g^{-i} \end{pmatrix} w_1 \wedge w_2$$

$$= 7.066363772533693... \cdot (g - g^2)\, w_1 \wedge w_2$$

Let $\Omega = w_1^* \wedge w_2^*$ and

$$\Omega\left(\log^{(2)}(x \wedge (x-2))\right) = 7.066363772533693... \cdot (g - g^2).$$

Thus $\Theta_2 = -1.570303060563043... \cdot \frac{(g-g^2)^2}{2} = \frac{1}{9}(g^2 - g)\, \Omega\left(\log^{(2)}(x \wedge (x-2))\right)$ and

$$\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{9}(g^2 - g)\, x \wedge (x-2) = \frac{1}{9}\left(\frac{x^{g^2}}{x^g}\right) \bigwedge (x-2).$$

References

[1] J.Tate, Les Conjectures de Stark sur les Fonctions L d’Artin en $s = 0$

[2] K.Rubin, A Stark conjecture “over Z” for abelian L-functions with multiple zeros

[3] K.L.Siegel, Advanced analytic number theory

[4] D. Zagier, A Kronecker limit formula for real quadratic fields